## Eス×ABடAZ三 <br> How hard could it be? <br> Understanding network traffic at the picosecond level

## Background

## Introducing FDK-XP


-Everything from previous FDK
-Faster PCS/MAC
-Accelerated TCP Engine (ATE)

## STAC-TO (tick-to-trade)
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# STAC-TO (tick-to-trade) 

## 31 ns* <br> Min. actionable latency Why did it take so long?

* Subject to final validation
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2. It's harder than it looks

## Possible reasons

## 4. STAC Can' $\ddagger$ measure things

## 2. It's harder than it looks

## Enter the Picosecond

## Problem:

## When did a field in my packet arrive?

## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:

## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:

```
preamble
```

7B of
0101010

## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:


Start of frame delimiter (1B)

## In an ideal world...
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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An Ethernet fame:


## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:

| preamble | SOFD | HDR | Payload | field | CRC | IFG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Packet starts here |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:

| preamble | SOFD | HDR | Payload | field | CRC | IFG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## In an ideal world...

An Ethernet fame:


## Ideal calculation

## Bytes offset into the packet <br> Delay $=\mathrm{N}$

## Ideal calculation

## Convert to bits

Delay $=$ N x 8

## Ideal calculation

## Line rate (giga-bits per second)

## Delay $=\mathrm{N} \times 8 \times 1 / 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$

## Ideal calculation

## Convert to picoseconds ( $10^{-12}$ )

## Delay $=\mathrm{N} \times 8 \times 1 / 10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s} \times 1 / \mathrm{ps}$

## Ideal calculation

## Cancels out <br> Delay $=\mathrm{N} \times 8 \times 100$

## Ideal calculation

## simplifies <br> Delay $=\mathrm{N} \times 800$

## Ideal calculation - Example

## Delay $=64 \mathrm{~B} \times 800=51,200 \mathrm{ps}$

## Finished?

## Meanwhile in reality

10 GbE is carried using 64b/66b encoding at $66 / 64 \times 10=10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$
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## Meanwhile in reality

10 GbE is carried using $64 \mathrm{~b} / 66 \mathrm{~b}$ encoding at $66 / 64 \times 10=10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$


The control word can have a number of values (256), but the most important ones for this discussion are ...
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## Meanwhile in reality

10 GbE is carried using $64 \mathrm{~b} / 66 \mathrm{~b}$ encoding at $66 / 64 \times 10=10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$
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## The making of an Ethernet frame

The Ethernet frame is then layered on top of the 64/66 encoding layer. For example...


## This raises a lot of questions....

- When does the frame start?
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## When does a frame start?



## This raises a lot of questions....

- When does the frame start (SOF)?
- When is the SOF timestamped?


## When does a frame start? And when is it timestamped



## When does a frame start? And when is it timestamped



## This raises a lot of questions....

- When does the frame start? And when is it timestamped?
- When does the frame end?


## When does a frame end?



## When does a frame end?



## When does a frame end?

| 10 | So <br> F7 preamble SOFD | 01 | Payload | 10 | CRC | EO <br> 10 | EO <br> Fo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## What about the EOFO case?

## This raises a lot of questions....

- When does the frame start? And when it it timestamped?
- When does the frame end?
- How long is the frame? (in bits and in picoseconds)


## How long is the frame? (In bits / picoseconds)
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## How long is the frame? (In bits / picoseconds)



## What about the SOF3 case?

## How long is the frame? (In bits / picoseconds)

| 10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { So } \\ \text { FO } \end{array}$ | prea | 01 | mble | SOFD | Payl | 01 | oad | C | 10 | F2 | RC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +2 | +8 | +16 |
|  |  | Is it 104b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | = 10,400ps ? (ideal Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  | = 122 |
|  |  | Is it 160b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | $=16,000$ ps ? (ideal Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 168b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | = 16,800ps ? (ideal Ethernet view + XGMII preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 114 b long @ $10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,054 \mathrm{ps}$ ? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 172b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 16,679ps? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 122 b long @ $10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ? (PCS[SOF3], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How long is the frame? (In bits / picoseconds)

| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SO } \\ & \text { F3 } \end{aligned}$ | prea | 01 | mble | SOFD | Payl | 01 | oad | C | 10 | E2 | RC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 24 | +2 | + 64 |  |  |  | +64 |  | +2 | +8 | +16 |
|  |  | Is it 104b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | = 10,400ps ? (ideal Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  | = 182 |
|  |  | Is it 160b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | $=16,000$ ps ? (ideal Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 168b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  | = 16,800ps ? (ideal Ethernet view + XGMII preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 114b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 11,054ps ? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 172b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 16,679ps? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 122b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 11,830ps ? (PCS[SOF3], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 182b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 17,648ps? (PCS[SOF3], Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How long is the frame? (In bytes / picoseconds)

| 10 | SO | prea | 01 | mble | SOFD | Payl | 01 | oad | C | 10 | FO | RC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 56 | +2 | +64 |  |  | +2 | +64 |  | +2 | +8 | +16 = 214 |
|  |  | Is it 104b long @ 10Gb/s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 160b long @ 10Gb/s $=16,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ? (ideal Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 168b long @ 10Gb/s $=16,800 \mathrm{ps}$ ? (ideal Ethernet view + XGMII preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 114b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 11,054ps ? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 172b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 16,679ps ? (PCS[SOF7], Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 122b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 11,830ps ? (PCS[SOF3], Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 182b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 17,648ps ? (PCS[SOF3], Ethernet view + preamble/SOFD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Is it 214b long @ 10.3125Gb/s = 20,752ps ? (PCS[SOF3/SOF7] Ethernet view) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How long is the frame? (In bytes / picoseconds)



## This raises a lot of questions....

- When does the frame start? And when it it timestamped?
- When does the frame end?
- How long is the frame? (in bits and in picoseconds)
- How far (ps) into the frame is an arbitrary offset?


## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?

## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $122 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?

## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it 122 b @ $10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Note: this is smaller than above!!!
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Is it $122 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
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## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $122 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $124 @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,024 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
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## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $122 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $124 @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,024 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $156 @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=15,127 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it 64 b @ $10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=6,206 \mathrm{ps}$ ?

## How far (ps) into the frame is an offset?



Is it $120 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.000 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,000 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $122 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=11,830 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $124 @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=12,024 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $156 @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=15,127 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $64 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=6,206 \mathrm{ps}$ ?
Is it $68 \mathrm{~b} @ 10.3125 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}=6,594 \mathrm{ps}$ ?

## Implications for uncertainty

1. Ethernet protocol has an average rate of $10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ at layer 2 , but PCS effects are visible at individual packet sizes. Thus PCS encodings must be taken into account and 10.3125Ghz must be used.

## Implications for uncertainty

1. Ethernet protocol has an average rate of $10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ at layer 2 , but PCS effects are visible at individual packet sizes. Thus PCS encodings must be taken into account and 10.3125Ghz must be used.
2. Timestamps at PCS SOF3/7 and Ethernet layer SOFD have different absolute offsets*. Since both SOF3 and SOF7 may appear, these need to be accounted for.
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## Our recommendations....

- When does the frame start? At the start of the payload
- When does the frame end? At the end of the CRC
- How long is the frame? (CRC - payload) @ 10.3125G
- How far (ps) is an offset? (bit offset - payload) @ 10.3125G


## How far is an offset?? (bit offset - payload) @ $10.3125 G$



## Our recommendations!

- When does the frame start? At the start of the payload
- When does the frame end? At the end of the CRC
- How long is the frame? (CRC - payload) @ 10.3125G
- How far (ps) is an offset? (bit offset - payload) @ 10.3125G
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## 1. Message is 503 B long, excluding FCS (4B)
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1. Message is 503 B long, excluding FCS (4B)
2. The field is 8 B long and is offset is at 234B from the IP/UDP headers.

## Worked example

1. Message is 503 B long, excluding FCS (4B)
2. The field is 8 B long and is offset is at 234B from the IP/UDP headers.
3. The Ethernet + UDP + IP headers are 42B from the "start of frame" (not including preamble + SOFD)
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## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:

## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:

| 10 | SO | preamble | SOFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:


## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:

| 10 | S0 | preamble | SOFD |  |  | $64+34 \times(2+64)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | HDP |  | 01 | 01 | 01 |  |

## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:
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## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view of a 507B fame:


## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF7 view bf a 507B fame:


## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF3 view of a 507B fame:


## Complications with PCS layer effects

PCS SOF3 view of a 507B fame:


## Results Summary for Index Offset

| Message Type | 507 |
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## Results Summary for Index Offset

| Message Type | 507 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Ideal time (ps) @ 10.000 | 227,200 |
| PCS SOF7 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | 227,103 |
| PCS SOF3 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | 227,103 |
| Uncertainty (SOF3/7) | 0 |
|  |  |

## Results Summary for Index Offset

| Message Type | $\mathbf{5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 122 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ideal time (ps) @ 10.000 | 227,200 | 44,800 | 44,000 |
| PCS SOF7 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 1 0 3}$ | 44,606 | 43,830 |
| PCS SOF3 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 1 0 3}$ | 44,800 | 44,024 |
| Uncertainty (SOF3/7) | 0 | 194 | 194 |

## Results Summary for Index Offset

| Message Type | $\mathbf{5 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 122 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ideal time (ps) @ 10.000 | 227,200 | 44,800 | 44,000 |
| PCS SOF7 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 1 0 3}$ | 44,606 | 43,830 |
| PCS SOF3 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | $\mathbf{2 2 7 , 1 0 3}$ | 44,800 | 44,024 |
| Uncertainty (SOF3/7) | 0 | 194 | 194 |

## Results Summary for Packet Length

| Message Type | A | B | Response |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ideal time (ps) @ 10.000 | 405,600 | 54,400 | 97,600 |
| PCS SOF7 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | 406,303 | $\mathbf{5 5 , 0 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 , 3 2 7}$ |
| PCS SOF3 time @ 10.3125 (ps) | $\mathbf{4 0 6 , 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 , 3 2 7}$ |
| Uncertainty (SOF3/7) | 0 | -776 | 0 |

## Conclusions

1. It's harder than it looks to do measurements at the picosecond scale.
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1. It's harder than it looks to do measurements at the picosecond scale.
2. Vendors need to specify where/when timestamps are taken to facilitate index offset/frame length calculations

## Conclusions

1. It's harder than it looks to do measurements at the picosecond scale.
2. Vendors need to specify where/when timestamps are taken to facilitate index offset/frame length calculations
3. When taking into account PCS layer effects, some index offsets/frame lengths are 776ps longer/later than expected.

# Questions? 

(or tick the box)

## 曰モメABடAZこ

